Lalrinawma Sailo, Republic Vengthlang, Aizawl

Vrs

Deputy Director & SPIO, Directorate of Land Revenue & Settlement, Mizoram.

Complainant

Respondent

ORDER
(13.10.2008)

A complaint has been submitted by Lalrinawma Sailo, Republic Vengthlang, Aizawl, against the SPIO of Directorate of Land Revenue & Settlement, Mizoram, for not giving the information requested for. A complaint case was registered under No. C. 23/08-MSIC.

The short fact of the case is that the complainant-applicant requested the SPIO & Deputy Director, Directorate of Land Revenue & Settlement, Mizoram, to issue original copies of letter Nos. DLR/HP-15/82/112 dt. 20.1.1983, DLR/HP-15/83/140 dt. 23.3.1983 and LRR-72/83-82/87 dt. 23.3.1983. However, the SPIO did not give the information as requested, and the complainant-applicant lodged a complaint with the Commission to get the required information.

The SPIO was noticed to appear before the Commission on 14.8.2008 with all relevant records in original. The SPIO appeared in person. However, since the SPIO did not produce the required documents, he was directed to produce the same in the next hearing which was fixed on 1.9.2008. The SPIO did not turn up on the next date of hearing and fresh date was fixed on 10.9.2008. The SPIO appeared in person and was heard. The SPIO informed the Commission that the required information are no longer available with the Department and the same could not be produced before it. The SPIO was directed to file an affidavit in proof of his statement which will be submitted before the Commission on 17.9.2008. The SPIO appeared with written statement but without affidavit. He was again directed to produce affidavit before the Commission on 26.9.2008. The SPIO appeared in person and submitted affidavit to the affirmation that the required information are no longer kept in the Directorate of Land Revenue & Settlement.
In the circumstances of the affirmation submitted by the SPIO through an affidavit that the required information are no longer kept in the Directorate of Land Revenue & Settlement, the Commission has but to accept the declaration made by the SPIO through an affidavit.

The case is, therefore, considered closed.
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