No. C. 53/2010-MSIC
MIZORAM INFORMATION COMMISSION
KHALTA : AIZAWL

Rasik Mohan Chakma, MDC, Chakma Autonomous District Council, Kamalanagar, Mizoram

Complainant

Vrs

Respondent.

SPIO, Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Lawngtlai District, Mizoram.

ORDER
(8.7.2010)

A complaint was submitted by Pu Rasik Mohan Chakma, MDC, Chakma Autonomous District Council (CADC), Mizoram, against the SPIO of the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Lawngtlai District, Mizoram, for not giving the required information requested for under the RTI Act 2005. A complaint case was registered under No. C. 53/2010 – MSIC.

The short fact of the case is that the complainant requested the SPIO of the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Lawngtlai District, Mizoram, to furnish information relating to implementation of works for construction of Vaseitlang – Kukurduleya Road under RSVY during 2005-08. However, as the required information was not given by the SPIO even after a lapse of more than four months, the complainant filed a complaint to the Information Commission to get the required information.

The SPIO was noticed to appear before the Commission on 7.7.2010 with all relevant documents in original. The SPIO, presently on leave, was represented by SDO (Sadar), Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Lawngtlai District. The SDO (S) also submitted the required information to the Commission. In the course of hearing, the SDO(S) informed the Commission that the required information had to be collected from the CADC and the same was furnished by the CADC only on 29.6.2010 after repeated reminders and increasing pressures. This delay subsequently made the SPIO unable to give the required information to the applicant on time and that there was no delay or mala fide intention on the part of the SPIO to give the required information to the applicant.

Perusal of the documents submitted by the SDO (S) would confirm the statement of the SDO (S) that there was no intentional delay on the part of the SPIO in giving the required information to the complainant and that the responsibility cannot be fixed on the SPIO. However, the SPIO is directed to give certified copies of the required information to the complainant-applicant within 7 days from receipt of this order under intimation to the Commission.

Ordered accordingly.

(K.TLANTHANGA)
Information Commissioner