

No. S.A. 95/2022 – MIC
MIZORAM INFORMATION COMMISSION
MIZORAM NEW CAPITAL COMPLEX
KHATLA, AIZAWL

Pu Rustom Chakma, : *Appellant*
House No-19, 2nd floor,
Vinayagar Kovil street,
Ayyavoo colony,
Aminjikarai, Chennai – 600029,
Ph: 8686457050.

Pu Chandra Bikash Chakma, : *Respondent*
State Public Information Officer (SPIO)
&
Deputy Secretary,
General Administration & Control Department,
Chakma Autonomous District Council,
Kamalanagar

ORDER
(19.12.2022)


1. Pu Rustom Chakma, Chennai submitted an Online RTI application dt. 29.08.2022 to the SPIO, General Administration & Control Department, CADC seeking information on the following points: -
 - i) Service book and service Bio-Data of Sr. FAO, CADC, Mr. Buddhankur Chakma.
 - ii) Service book and services Bio-data of the Executive Secretary of CADC.
 - iii) Service Book and service bio-data of Mr. Chandra Bikash Chakma, Taxation Office, CADC
 - iv) Recruitment details of H. Nattun Chakma, CADC Fishery Development Officer.
 - v) Was H. Nattun Chakma recruited as per CADC recruitment Rule,1992.
 - vi) Advertisement for the post H. Nattun Chakma recruited by CADC.
 - vii) Service Bio-data and service book copy of H. Nattun Chakma
 - viii) Any other documents pertaining to recruitment of H. Nattun Chakma.
2. In reply, Pu Chandra Bikash Chakma, SPIO & Deputy Secretary (GAD), CADC informed him vide Memo No. A.32018/1/2021-2022/CADC(G) dt. 23.09.2022 that the request for Service Book and biodata of some officers/staff of Chakma Autonomous District Council is rejected under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

3. Not feeling satisfied, the appellant preferred First Appeal to the DAA/Executive Secretary, CADC on 14.10.2022 requesting him to provide the documents which does not come under exemption from disclosure of the said Act. In reply, the DAA informed the appellant that he or his representative is to appear before him for hearing on 04.11.2022 at 12:00 noon vide Memo No. A.12032/7/2019-20/CADC(G)/Pt-I dt. 28.10.2022.
4. In this regard, the appellant submitted Second Appeal online on 02.11.2022 to this Commission to help him get his requested information. Summon was issued to both the appellant or his authorized representative and the respondent to appear before the Chief Information Commissioner on 28.11.2022 (Monday) at 11:00 AM. In order to prevent any delay in receiving the letter since the appellant resides outside Mizoram, the summon was sent to him via WhatsApp to which he replied, "Thank you". As scheduled, hearing was held in the office chamber of the CIC wherein Pu Lalnunmawia Chuaungo, Chief Information Commissioner and Pu Zoramawia, Information Commissioner were present. While the respondent Pu Chandra Bikash Chakma, SPIO & Deputy Secretary (GAD), CADC was present, the appellant Pu Rustom Chakma nor his authorized representative was absent without any intimation to MIC.
5. In the hearing, the respondent informed the Commission that as per the order issued by the DAA/Executive Secretary, CADC vide Memo No. A.32018/1/2022-2023/CADC dt. 04.11.2022, the appellant was provided information pertaining to Sl. No. 4,5,6 and 8 of his application as permissible under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He also submitted to the Commission copies of the reply furnished to the appellant *viz* Rustom Chakma.
6. In view of the above, **since the appellant even after having received the summon for hearing is silent on the matter till date i.e., 19.12.2022 (Monday), the Commission hereby considers the case as closed.**

The matter is disposed of accordingly. Copy of the decision to be given free of cost to the parties.



(ZORAMAWIA)
Information Commissioner
Mizoram Information Commission



(LALNUNMAWIA CHUAUNGO)
Chief Information Commissioner
Mizoram Information Commission